

# The influence of ants on the environment and their relationship with ecosystem components

Bogdan A. Mikhaleiko	National Research Tomsk State University, 36 Lenin Ave., Tomsk, 634050, Russia
Andrei S. Babenko	National Research Tomsk State University, 36 Lenin Ave., Tomsk, 634050, Russia
Aldynay O. Khovalyg	Tuvan State University, 36 Lenina St., Kyzyl, 667000, Russia
Sayana D. Mongush	Tuvan State University, 36 Lenina St., Kyzyl, 667000, Russia
Maria I. Dongak	Tuvan State University, 36 Lenina St., Kyzyl, 667000, Russia
Svetlana O. Kanzivaa	Tuvan State University, 36 Lenina St., Kyzyl, 667000, Russia
Sergey O. Ondar	Tuvan State University, 36 Lenina St., Kyzyl, 667000, Russia
Sergey N. Kirpotin	National Research Tomsk State University, 36 Lenin Ave., Tomsk, 634050, Russia; The Institute for Water and Environmental Problems of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (IWEP SB RAS), 1 Molodezhnaya St., Barnaul, 1656038, Russia

Having a wide geographical distribution and, as an engineering species, actively transforming the landscapes they inhabit, ants have a significant impact on the environment, creating new biogenic landforms and changing a number of its parameters, including biotic ones. This review is devoted to the consideration of the diverse environment-forming activities of ants in different regions of the world. An analysis of the scientific literature carried out in this context revealed a clear disproportion between the fairly good knowledge of tropical regions and the insufficient knowledge of temperate latitudes. The environment-forming activity of ants actively populating grasslands removed from agricultural use: steppes, continental and floodplain meadows of temperate latitudes has been especially poorly studied, which determines the undoubted priority of this area of research.

Acta Biologica Sibirica 10: 901-919 (2024)

doi: 10.5281/zenodo.13705509

Corresponding author: Bogdan A. Mikhaleiko (xoxoxanas123@gmail.com)

Academic editor: R. Yakovlev | Received 1 August 2024 | Accepted 4 September 2024 | Published 8 September 2024

http://zoobank.org/BFF0EA3A-86AF-473D-A4F4-913BB3183E90

Citation: Mikhaleiko BA, Babenko AS, Khovalyg AO, Mongush SD, Dongak MI, Kanzivaa SO, Ondar



SO, Kirpotin SN (2024) The influence of ants on the environment and their relationship with ecosystem components. Acta Biologica Sibirica 10: 901–919. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13705509

## Keywords

Ants, grasslands, consort interactions, bioturbation, greenhouse gases, myrmecochory, myrmecophily, soil

## Introduction

In almost all terrestrial ecosystems of our planet, with the exception of the Arctic territories, ants are one of the dominant groups of invertebrate animals. Researchers have noted the important role of ants in the trophic networks of terrestrial ecosystems, their diverse social and consortial interactions with other organisms (Hölldobler, Wilson 1990; Wilson, Hölldobler 2005).

Being integral elements of forest, meadow and steppe biogeocenoses, ants, as colonial insects and "natural engineers", actively participate in the creation of nano-forms of biogenic relief, the morphometric characteristics of which are very diverse, and depend on the species specificity, the characteristics of the ants' habitat and the age of these zoogenic landforms (Leontiev, Tatarnikov 2013; Tiede et al. 2017; Wills, Landis 2018).

It is shown that the most significant changes during the historical development of land use have undergone grass ecosystems: steppe and meadow landscapes. A significant proportion of meadows in temperate regions has been transformed into agricultural landscapes, significant areas of which have ceased to be used in recent years and have become fallow lands (Kurganova, Kudeyarov 2012; Kurganova et al. 2018; Matasov et al. 2019; Ivanov et al. 2023). It is important to note that when human economic activity ceases (plowing, haymaking, grazing), meadow spaces are quickly occupied by ants, which is manifested in a significant increase in the density of ant nests in meadow areas.

As mass consumers of a variety of organic substrates, ants in temperate grasslands influence the diversity of invertebrates, plants, and soil microbes and potentially alter grassland productivity. Previous research has noted the importance of studying the role of ants in soil processes and how grassland fragmentation may influence community formation of ants and associated organisms. (Wills, Landis 2018; Ivanov et al. 2022).

Ants have a noticeable impact on a number of environmental parameters, such as: soil density and porosity, thermoregulation, temperature changes inside the anthill, nitrogen content, carbon content, composition and activity of microorganisms, phosphorus content, potassium content, acidity, CO2 emission, CH4 emission, N2O emissions (Wills, Landis 2018; Golichenkov et al. 2019; Lei et al. 2021; Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023; Churilina et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018; Mehring et al. 2021; Soper et al. 2019).

Based on the analysis of numerous publications (Dauber et al. 2006; Stebaev et al. 1968; Mordkovich 2014; Neustruev 1976), the authors propose to distinguish ecosystems inhabited by anthills into a special category of complex two-member ecosystems, consisting of a host background ecosystem (for example, a dense turf meadow) and numerous "islands" - interspersed with natural nanoecosystems in this background. Anthills, in fact, being disturbed areas of dense turf of the background meadow ecosystems that host them, form niches for various invertebrate consorts and alien and weed plants that are not able to colonize meadow ecosystems due to high competition from native meadow species (Dauber et al. 2006), which significantly increases mosaic and biodiversity of such complex two-membered meadow ecosystems.



### Results

When ants occupied the territory, the appearance of the first nests indicates the beginning of intensive modification of the soil cover, which, as a rule, can go in two directions (Frouz, Jilkova 2008): firstly, it is transporting organic material from the surroundings to the nests as food or building material; secondly, it is bioturbation - mixing and accumulating soil material from different sources and horizons.

Bioturbation has a beneficial effect on plant growth, which has a positive effect on the rate of restoration of disturbed areas (Dostál et al. 2005). Bioturbation of ants and its impact on the environment also largely depends on the respective species of ants and the features of the construction of anthills (Frouz, Jilkova 2008). The number of ant nests in the territory is the most important factor to explain the differences in soil bioturbation turnover in different habitats (Lobry de Bruyn, Conacher 1994). The above ground volume and mass of soil in anthills may indicate the degree of soil turnover as a result of the construction activity of ants (Nkem et al. 2000).

In addition to the number of anthills on the territory, traces of foraging ants – "feeding paths", namely their number and length, are considered to be an equally important factor. The importance of the foraging tracks of ants is related to their ability to move through territories that are subjected to land use at various degrees, which contributes to the collection of heterogeneous material for anthills (Nkem et al. 2000). At the same time, in the territories themselves, which are under the influence of permanent land use (for example, plowing), anthills spread to a lesser extent (Lobry de Bruyn, Conacher 1994). Considering that the land use system has changed significantly in recent years – significant territories are being withdrawn from agricultural circulation and become fallow (for example, huge floodplain areas of the Ob River) (Mikhaleiko et al. 2023), ants have more available space for the construction of anthills (Ivanov et al. 2022), as a result of which new inhabited areas territories can undergo significant transformation due to the high activity of ants (Khazan et al. 2020), which emphasizes the importance of studying the vital activity of ants in fallow territories.

The fact of soil mixing is confirmed by the discovery of an increased content of clay, silt, sand, as well as foreign material of anthropogenic origin and organic substances in the composition of anthills compared to the background soil, both horizontally and vertically, however, there are no unambiguous trends in this property – everything depends, apparently, on the specific type of ants and their habitats (Wiken et al. 1976; Lobry de Bruyn, Conacher 1994; Drager et al. 2016; Robins, Robins 2011).

Ant mounds with a higher percentage of slope will contribute more to the redistribution of soil material after the anthill is subjected to erosion (Nkem et al. 2000). The processes of slope erosion of anthills are considered an important factor in increasing the level of nutrients in the soil around anthills (Eldridge, Myers 1998).

It is known that ants are ectothermal organisms – they largely depend on the external temperature (Jørgensen et al. 2022). As the temperature increases, the ants' burrowing activity increases. Colonies exposed to higher temperatures dig nests of a larger size and depth than those living in an environment with milder temperatures (Ibarra et al. 2024).

Nevertheless, the importance of ant bioturbation in global terms is currently a debatable issue (Viles et al. 2021). Studies in cold-temperate latitudes have shown that earthworms have a greater bioturbation effect in some ecosystems (Taylor et al. 2019). On the other hand, there is a more significant influence of ants in tropical zones (Tschinkel, 2015; Wilkinson 2009). The authors of the above-mentioned study noted that, despite the well-known bioturbation of ants, the structure and properties of the biopores they form remain relatively poorly understood, especially compared to earthworms (Ibarra et al. 2024).



As noted above, ants are ectothermal organisms, therefore air temperature is an important environmental factor for their existence. There are many illustrative examples of how ants thermoregulate in anthills, for example, the nests of fire ants (*Solenopsis* spp.) are asymmetric, and their shape changes depending on the season – the surface area that is directly exposed to the sun changes (Vogt et al. 2008). *Acromyrmex heyeri* avoids overheating during the day and cooling at night due to covering with straw and fragments of anthill plants, which have lower thermal conductivity (Bollazzi, Roces 2010). *Atta vollenweideri* nests are thermoregulated using a ventilation system consisting of air outflow through central tunnels and inflow through peripheral tunnels (Kleineidam et al. 2001). In addition, ants are able to change their circadian rhythm by foraging at a cooler time of day (Lei et al. 2021), or even move to a cooler microenvironment (Penick & Tschinkel 2008).

Studies naturally indicate lower temperatures inside ant complexes compared to the background soil (Vogt et al. 2008; Bollazzi, Roces 2010; Kleineidam et al. 2001; Penick, Tschinkel 2008; Lei et al. 2021; Golichenkov et al. 2019; Dainenko, Rusakov 2012). It was noted that pedobiont ants live in more thermostatically controlled conditions than ants, which mainly live in mounds (Golichenkov et al. 2019).

The construction of tunnels both above ground in the embankment and underground increases macroporosity and reduces the bulk density of the soil (Frouz, Jilkova 2008; Golichenkov et al. 2019; Cammeraat et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2023; Leal et al. 2007). Reduced soil bulk density can contribute to increased aeration and soil water permeability (McCahon, Lockwood 1990).

A two-way effect of ants' vital activity on soil water permeability was noted (Cammeraat et al. 2002; Green et al. 1999): on the one hand, ant nests increase water infiltration in humid conditions; on the other hand, ant nests reduce the level of water infiltration in arid conditions. The humidity of an ant nest often differs significantly from the humidity of the background soil and can be either lower (Mc- Cahon, Lockwood 1990) or higher (Coenen-Stass et al. 1980). Humidity values can vary even within a single species (Froz 2000). In addition, the soil moisture of ant nests is influenced by organic substances (Zhou et al. 2023). The presence of ants in the soil increases the content of organic matter, which contributes to an increase in soil hydrophobicity (Domisch et al. 2008).

Ants are capable of changing chemical properties in soils in the zone of their influence (Yang et al. 2022; Nkem et al. 2000, Yang et al. 2021), however it all depends on the specific type and characteristics of the environment.

Increased nitrogen content compared to background soils was observed in most of the analyzed works (Wagner, Jones 2006; Eldridge, Myers 1998; Zhou et al. 2023; Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023;), except for one (Dostál et al. 2004). Ants' activity can enhance the release of nitrogen from plant residues; splitting organic matter, ants contribute to soil mineralization inside the anthill (Churilina et al. 2017; Wagner, Jones 2006; Yang et al. 2021; Nkem et al. 2000; Kristiansen, Amelung 2001). It is observed that the accelerated turnover rate of nitrogen compounds is typical for humid environments (Cammeraat, Risch 2008).

Soils affected by ants are mainly characterized by increased carbon concentrations in their chemical composition compared to the background soil (Eldridge, Myers 1998; Nkem et al. 2000; Dainenko, Rusakov 2012; Cammeraat et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2023; Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023), but in two studies the carbon values in the chemical composition of anthill soils were lower compared to the background soil (Dostál et al. 2004; Dainenko, Rusakov 2012). It was noted that the difference in the amount of carbon between the anthill dome and the background soil may become smaller as the age of the ant colony increases (Lane, Bassirad 2005).

With an increase in the carbon and nitrogen content in anthills, the biomass level increases (Kotova et al. 2015; Churilina et al. 2017; Kotova et al. 2013; Jílková et al. 2016). A characteristic feature of the composition of the bacterial complex of anthills is the dominance of actinomycetes in it, the



relative abundance of which often exceeds 50% (Churilina et al. 2017). Actinomycetes, in turn, are known for their ability to nitrogen fixation, antibiotic production and chitin destruction, but nitrogen fixation in anthills is considered understudied (Kotova et al. 2015; Kotova et al. 2013). Otherwise, the composition of the bacterial complex of anthills may differ both from the characteristics of a particular ant species and from the habitat in which the colony lives (Bringhurst et al. 2022).

Higher phosphorus concentrations are observed everywhere in anthills compared to controls (Nkem et al. 2000, Frouz et al. 2003; Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023; Dostál et al. 2004; Eldridge, Myers 1998; Dainenko, Rusakov 2012; Cammeraat et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2023), however, approximately the same phosphorus content was noted in the soil of anthills and controls (Leal et al. 2007).

Studies indicate an increase in potassium concentration in anthills (Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023; Drager et al. 2016; Frouz et al. 2003; Dostál et al. 2004; Eldridge, Myers 1998; Dainenko, Rusakov 2012; Cammeraat et al. 2002), and in some studies there was no significant difference in potassium content in anthills and control soil (Nkem et al. 2000; Leal et al. 2007).

There is an increased content of magnesium, calcium and sodium cations in the soils of anthills compared with the control (Urbanczyk, Szulc 2023), while it is noted that the content of the abovementioned element cations in agroecosystems is lower than in any other ant habitat (Farji-Brener, Werenkraut 2017).

Trends in changes in the level of soil acidity in anthills by ants are noted, mainly cases of pH shift towards a neutral value are shown (Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023; Churilina et al. 2017; Froz et al. 2003; Dostal et al. 2004; Cammeraat et al. 2002). The decrease in pH can be explained by the increased carbon level in the anthill, which contributes to soil alkalinization (Cammeraat, Risch 2008), however, no specific patterns of changes in the acidity level by ants have been identified at the moment (Churilina et al. 2017).

Different ant species are known to be able to accumulate heavy metals from the environment (Yang et al. 2021; Skaldina et al. 2018). A case of a decrease in the level of heavy metals – chromium, lead, copper, nickel in anthills by ants has been recorded (Shi et al. 2023). It is assumed that ants accumulate heavy metals from the soil of the anthill, and then die outside the anthill, which helps to reduce the level of heavy metals in the nest (Shi et al. 2023).

Anthills can be natural sources of greenhouse gases. Greenhouse gas emissions from anthills are associated not only with the respiration and construction activities of ants, but also with the activity of microorganisms, which ants influence when changing the structure of the soil and its biogeochemical processes (Berberich et al. 2018; Jílková, Froz 2014; Jílková et al. 2016).

Ant respiration and decomposition of organic matter are direct sources of  $CO_2$  (Römer et al. 2018; Caiafa et al. 2023). Since ant nests are a closed environment, ants control the concentration of  $CO_2$  inside the anthill, for example, by swarming ventilation paths that provide the anthill gas exchange and maintain microclimatic conditions inside the nest (Jílková et al. 2016; Caiafa et al. 2023).

It was noted that the specificity of ant species is not related to  $CO_2$  emissions, variability in  $CO_2$  flux values may be more related to abiotic factors, for example, temperature (Jílková et al. 2016; Caiafa et al. 2023). With increasing temperature, oxygen consumption increases and the metabolism of ants and microorganisms in the anthill accelerates, which in turn increases  $CO_2$  production (Ibarra et al. 2024; Jílková et al. 2016). Anthills and surrounding soil areas are able to emit 15-60% more  $CO_2$  than soil areas that do not belong to anthills (Fernandez-Bou et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2018; Mehring et al. 2021; Wu et al. 2015; Fernandez-Bou et al. 2019; Jílková et al. 2016).

Ants can be both a source and a sink of methane:  $CH_4$  production is often observed in the upper part of anthills due to the decomposition of organic matter with a high nutrient content by



microorganisms (Berberich et al. 2018; Caiafa et al. 2023), while  $CH_4$  consumption by methanotrophic soil bacteria occurs deep in the anthill (Jílková et al. 2016). It is noted, that  $CH_4$ emission from anthills is probably the result of a combination of microbial activity and abiotic  $CH_4$ emission associated with gas emissions through soil pores that pass through anthill nests (Berberich et al. 2018; Mehring et al. 2021).

Soils are one of the main sources of nitrogen oxides, which is mainly formed as a result of microbial processes: nitrophication and denitrification (Majeed et al. 2018; Caiafa et al. 2023). Ants, as a result of their activities, can contribute to the development of communities of microorganisms in the soil of the anthill that carry out the processes of nitrophication and denitrification (Kotova et al. 2015; Churilina et al. 2017). Research results suggest that anthills can indeed contribute to  $N_2O$  emissions into the atmosphere, probably mainly due to the stimulation of nitrophication and denitrification and denitrification (Majeed et al. 2018; Soper et al. 2019; Caiafa et al. 2023; Wu et al. 2015), however, currently Research is more focused on the study of  $CO_2$  emissions, which is reflected in the study of nitrogen oxide emissions.

Generalized data on the influence of ants on a number of indicators of the condition of nests and surrounding substrates are shown in the Table 1.

Some ant species are capable of spreading plant seeds (Drager 2016; Philpott et al. 2009; Fernandes et al. 2024; Oliveira et al. 2024). As a rule, biotic and abiotic factors influence the spread of seeds. Among biotic factors, the distribution of seeds is influenced by vegetation structure, species composition and size of ants, density of ant nests and competition for resources, among abiotic factors are temperature and drying of seeds (Philpott et al. 2009; Leal et al. 2015).

The involvement of ants in the seed propagation process is explained by the presence in many flower seeds of a lipid-rich appendage, the elaiosome, which attracts ants (Oliveira et al. 2024; Leal et al. 2015). The mechanism of seed propagation consists in transferring the seed to an ant nest, in which the ants eat the elaiosome, and then throw the seed into an external landfill, which is enriched with organic substances, which promotes germination and seed growth (Oliveira et al. 2024; Leal et al. 2024; Leal et al. 2015).

In addition, in the process of eating the eliosome, ants can disinfect seeds with an antimicrobial substance produced by their exocrine glands, however, the chemical composition of antimicrobial substances secreted by ant glands varies greatly among species (Fernandes et al. 2024).

The largest ant species show the greatest efficiency in the seed distribution process, since it is easier for them to collect and transport seeds (Oliveira et al. 2024; Fernandes et al., 2024). An increase in anthropogenic influence on ants negatively affects the seed propagation process (Oliveira et al. 2024). On the other hand, the effects of physical and chemical manipulations of ants on seeds are poorly understood, given that not all seed-spreading ants bring unambiguous benefits to myrmecochore plants (Fernandes et al. 2024).

Anthills, in fact, being disturbed areas of dense turf of the background meadow ecosystems that host them, form niches for drift and weeds that are unable to populate meadow ecosystems due to high competition from native meadow species (Dauber et al. 2006), which significantly increases the mosaic and biodiversity of such complex binomial meadow ecosystems, consisting of a background ecosystem and numerous "islands" – inclusions of natural nanoecosystems into this background.

Parameters	The influence of ants	Species	Region	Source
Soil density and porosity	The soil in anthills is less dense and more porous than in the	Lasius niger, Lasius flavus, Formica cunicularia	Russia (Rjazan)	Golichenkov et al. 2019
back	background soil	Messor bouvieri	Spain (Rambla Onda)	Cammeraat et al. 2002



		Iridomyrmex anceps	China (Wetland Park, Shanghai)	Zhou et al. 2023
		Camponotus blandus, Dorymyrmex brunneus, Dinoponera quadricieps, Pheidole sp., Solenopsis sp., Trachymyrmex sp.	Brazil (The San Francisco River in the Sergipe State area)	Leal et al. 2007
Thermoregulation,	An increase or decrease	Solenopsis invicta	USA (Mississippi)	Vogt et al. 2008
temperature change	in temperature in anthills directly depends on the conditions of the habitat, ants use different methods of thermoregulation, the temperature on the surface is often higher than inside the anthill. The temperature inside the anthill is lower than in the background soil	Acromyrmex heyeri	Uruguay (Juanico)	Bollazzi, Roces 2010
inside the anthill		Atta vollenweideri	Argentina (Formosa)	Kleineidam et al. 2001
		Solenopsis invicta	USA (Tallahassee)	Penick, Tschinkel 2008
		Solenopsis invicta	China (Guangzhou)	Lei et al. 2021
		Lasius niger, Lasius flavus, Formica cunicularia	Russia (Arkhangelsk region)	Golichenkov et al. 2019
		Lasius niger	Russia (Yaroslavl and Leningrad regions)	Daineko, Rusakov 2012
Nitrogen content	Increased nitrogen content compared to	Pogonomyrmex rugosu	USA (Boulder City, Kane Spring)	Wagner, Jones 2006
	background soil	Aphaenogaster barbigula	Australia (Yetong Nature Reserve)	Eldridge, Myers 1998
		Iridomyrmex anceps	China (Wetland Park, Shanghai)	Zhou et al. 2023
		Formica rufa	Poland (Idzbark)	Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023
	Nitrogen content in anthills is lower compared to background soil	Lasius flavus	Slovakia (Cape Obrubovanets)	Dostál et al. 2004
Carbon content	The carbon content in anthills is higher compared to the background soil The carbon content in anthills is lower compared to the background soil	Aphaenogaster barbigula	Australia (Yetong Nature Reserve)	Eldridge, Myers 1998
		Iridomyrmex greensladei	Australia (New South Wales)	Nkem et al. 2000
		Lasius niger	Russia (Yaroslavl and Leningrad regions)	Daineko, Rusakov 2012
		Messor bouvieri	Spain (Rambla Onda)	Cammeraat et al. 2002
		Iridomyrmex anceps	China (Wetland Park, Shanghai)	Zhou et al. 2023
		Formica rufa	Poland (Idzbark)	Urbańczyk, Szulc, 2023
		Lasius flavus	Slovakia (Cape Obrubovanets)	Dostál et al. 2004
		Lasius niger	Russia (Yaroslavl and Leningrad regions)	Daineko, Rusakov 2012
Microorganisms	In anthills, the biomass level is higher, nitrogen- fixing bacteria dominate in the bacterial complex	Lasius niger, Lasius flavus, Formica cunicularia, Tetramorium caespitum	Russia (Rjazan)	Kotova et al. 2015
		Lasius niger, Formica sp., Myrmica sp.	Russia (Arkhangelsk region)	Churilina et al. 2017
		Lasius niger, Lasius flavus, Formica cunicularia, Tetramorium caespitum	Russia (Rjazan)	Kotova et al. 2013
		Formica aquilonia	Czech Republic (Mount Crate)	Jílková et al. 2016
Phosphorus content	Increased phosphorus content compared to	Iridomyrmex greensladei	Australia (New South Wales)	Nkem et al. 2000
	the background soil	Lasius niger	Czech Republic,	Frouz et al. 2003



			Slovakia, Germany	
		Formica rufa	Poland (Idzbark)	Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023
		Lasius flavus	Slovakia (Cape Obrubovanets)	Dostál et al. 2004
		Aphaenogaster barbigula	Australia (Yetong Nature Reserve)	Eldridge, Myers 1998
		Lasius niger	Russia (Yaroslavl and Leningrad regions)	Daineko, Rusakov 2012
		Messor bouvieri	Spain (Rambla Onda)	Cammeraat et al. 2002
		Iridomyrmex anceps	China (Wetland Park, Shanghai)	Zhou et al. 2023
	The phosphorus content in anthills and background soil is approximately at the same level	Camponotus blandus, Dorymyrmex brunneus, Dinoponera quadricieps, Pheidole sp., Solenopsis sp., Trachymyrmex sp.	Brazil (The San Francisco River in the Sergipe State area)	Leal et al. 2007
Potassium content	Increased potassium	Formica rufa	Poland (Idzbark)	Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023
	content compared to the background soil	Formica subsericea	USA (University of Kansas Field Station)	Drager et al. 2016
		Lasius niger	Czech Republic, Slovakia, Germany	Frouz et al. 2003
		Lasius flavus	Slovakia (Cape Obrubovanets)	Dostál et al. 2004
		Aphaenogaster barbigula	Australia (Yetong Nature Reserve)	Eldridge, Myers 1998
		Lasius niger	Russia (Yaroslavl and Leningrad regions)	Daineko, Rusakov 2012
		Messor bouvieri	Spain (Rambla Onda)	Cammeraat et al. 2002
in anthills and background s	The potassium content in anthills and	Iridomyrmex greensladei	Australia (New South Wales)	Nkem et al. 2000
	background soil is approximately at the same level	Camponotus blandus, Dorymyrmex brunneus, Dinoponera quadricieps, Pheidole sp., Solenopsis sp., Trachymyrmex sp.	Brazil (The San Francisco River in the Sergipe State area)	Leal et al. 2007
pH	Acidity in anthills shifts	Formica rufa	Poland (Idzbark)	Urbańczyk, Szulc 2023
	towards a neutral value in comparison with the	Lasius niger, Formica sp., Myrmica sp.	Russia (Arkhangelsk region)	Churilina et al. 2017
background	background soil	Lasius niger	Czech Republic, Slovakia, Germany	Frouz et al. 2003
		Lasius flavus	Slovakia (Cape Obrubovanets)	Dosta´l et al. 2004
		Messor bouvieri	Spain (Rambla Onda)	Cammeraat et al. 2002
moi tha	Anthills are able to emit more $CO_2$ than soils that do not belong to	Odontoponera transervsa, Pheidologeton affinis	China (Xishuangbanna- Dai Botanical Garden)	Wang et al. 2018
	anthills	Atta cephalotes	Costa Rica (La Selva Biological Station)	Fernandez-Bou et al. 2019
		Formica aquilonia		Jílková et al. 2016
		Atta cephalotes	Costa Rica (La Selva Biological Station)	Mehring et al. 2021
CH <sub>4</sub> emission	Anthills are both sources and sinks of	Formica aquilonia	Czech Republic (Mount Crate)	Jílková et al. 2016
	$CH_4$ , $CH_4$ emission can be biotic and abiotic in	Formica polyctena	Germany (Neuwied)	Berberich et al. 2018
	nature	Atta cephalotes	Costa Rica (La Selva Biological Station)	Mehring et al. 2021
N <sub>2</sub> O emission	It is assumed that	Atta mexicana,	Mexico (Xalapa)	Majeed et al. 2018



nitrification and	Solenopsis geminate		
denitrification processes are the main sources of $N_2O$ production in anthill soils, and therefore anthills can emit more $N_2O$ than the background soil		Costa-Rica (Osa Conservation Piro Biological Station)	Soper et al. 2019
	Lasius niger, Lasius flavus, Formica candida	China (Sanjiang Mire Wetland Experimental Station)	Wu et al. 2015

**Table 1.** The influence of ants on the physical and chemical parameters of the environment

Ants belong to one of the few animal groups in which the coexistence of many species of living organisms is possible (Reznikova 1999; Stebaeva et al. 1977). In the communities of these insects, interspecific relationships will include both antagonistic forms such as predation, competition and parasitism, as well as mutually beneficial relationships, for example, mutualism and trophobiosis (Reznikova 1999; Stebaeva et al. 1977).

The old anthills are especially rich in a variety of roommates (Stebaeva et al. 1977). A wide variety of arthropods live together with ants and benefit from the fact that ant communities are well-protected habitats with a stable microclimate. Concentrated brood and accumulated food in ant nests represent valuable resources that are easily used by associated organisms (Hölldobler, Wilson 1990). The mechanisms of some interactions between ants and myrmecophiles, which can be called "symbioses" due to the close spatial relationship, are well studied, and there is significant progress in the ecological understanding of ant colonies as supra-organizational formations. The sociobiological characteristics of an ant colony are of paramount importance to answer the question of whether a myrmecophile can successfully infiltrate an ant colony. Pre-adaptation to penetration differs in colonies of the same species, since some signs may be optional. In addition, the frequency of colony movement, colony size, habitat choice, and nesting materials may be variables that affect the suitability of an ant colony for a particular myrmecophile. (Geiselhardt et al. 2007).

It is customary to distinguish myrmecophiles, which ants care for only occasionally (optional interaction), from those who invariably depend on ants, at least at some stages of life (obligate association). Beetles, hymenoptera, bedbugs, and diptera are the most represented among insects by the number of myrmecophila species (Zakharov and Yanushev 2019). Ants have diverse and complex relationships with representatives of the mesofauna living in their nests, which can be individualized: Some myrmecophiles use anthills only as shelters, others eat up the remains of ant food, and others feed on the brood of ants (Zakharov, Yanushev 2019).

One of the most complex and mysterious forms of ant behavior is considered to be trophobiosis with various species of equidopteran proboscis insects, in particular aphids. It is known that due to cooperation with ants, the survival rate of myrmecophilic aphids can increase, since ants protect them from adverse effects, and in return "milk" them by collecting pad (Novgorodova 2012; Reznikova 1998).

Myrmecophilic staphylinids have succeeded in developing niches inside ant colonies, which specialize in colony life due to behavioral, anatomical and chemical changes (Naragon et al. 2022; Pliskevich 2016). These changes can be strikingly convergent (Pliskevich 2016). It is noted that ants build different relationships with different species of staphylinidae – from indifference to aggression, for example, there are insects that ants do not pay special attention to, which insects use and eat other arthropods, plant remains and fungal spores on the territory of the anthill (Pliskevich 2016).

In general, the nests of highly developed species of eusocial ants can be considered ecological islands with a variety of ecological niches, which are inhabited not only by ants and their brood, but also by many other organisms adapted to certain niches. Myrmecophilic behavior and exocrine glands allow myrmecophilic staphylinids to live in close contact with their host ants (Hölldobler,



Kwapich 2019).

### Conclusions

The analysis of numerous scientific papers devoted to the environmental activity of ants in different regions of the world has shown that ants have a diverse impact on the environment: they create new forms of nano-relief, change the chemical and physical properties of the soil, are a natural source of greenhouse gases, promote the spread of plant seeds, create a specific habitat for their consorts – diverse species of animals, plants and microorganisms with which various interspecific relationships are built.

Despite the large volume of scientific papers devoted to the study of the influence of ants on the environment, numerous unresolved issues remain, for example, about the importance of bioturbation activity of ants, about the mechanisms of soil acidity changes, about the role of ants in seed propagation and greenhouse gas emissions, and in general about the role of ant colonies in changing the structure of the landscape. To date, the role of ants and their companions in the transfer of matter and energy in ecosystems remains unclear. Taking into account the widespread and huge number of these insects, which, due to their individual characteristics, are able to have a diverse impact on the environment, their role as ecosystem transformers cannot be underestimated.

With an increase in the nutrient content in anthills, the activity of microorganisms and the biodiversity of their accompanying invertebrate consort animals increases, and the aboveground part of the anthills, being a disturbed turf layer, is populated by plant species not peculiar to this ecosystem, which are unable to spread in the background environment due to high competition. Taking into account the above facts, anthills can be considered separate nanoecosystems and nodal clusters of biota (Dauber et al. 2006; Stebaev et al. 1968; Mordkovich 2014; Neustruev 1976) interspersed with the background host ecosystem.

In general, the study of the engineering role of ants in the transformation of natural and anthropogenic disturbed ecosystems seems to be a promising topic for further research. At the same time, special attention should be paid to the study of the environmental activity of ants in the dynamically changing landscapes of floodplains of the Great Siberian Rivers, both in operation and decommissioned from agricultural circulation, which have a noticeable impact on the global carbon cycle, including an assessment of the impact of ants on fertility and sequestration potential of floodplain lands.

### Acknowledgement

Bogdan A. Mikhaleiko, Sergey N. Kirpotin, Andrei S. Babenko (conceptualization, developing table, writing, formulation of conclusions) are grateful to the Russian Science Foundation within the framework of project 23-16-00218 «Carbon balance and increasing the sequestration potential of agricultural land in the floodplain of the middle Ob».

Aldynay O. Khovalyg, Sayana D. Mongush, Maria I. Dongak, Svetlana O. Kanzivaa, Sergey O. Ondar (analysis and synthesis of literature on temperate latitudes and grasslands, participation in the formulation of conclusions) are grateful to the State Assignment project FEWW-2024-0009 "Development of the foundations of an adaptive selection system taking into account ecological and genetic characteristics in the conditions of nomadic livestock farming (using the Republic of Tyva as an example)".

#### References



Berberich GM, Ellison AM, Berberich MB, Grumpe A, Becker A, Wöhler C (2018) Can a Red Wood-Ant Nest Be Associated with Fault-Related CH4 Micro-Seepage? A Case Study from Continuous Short-Term In-Situ Sampling. Animals 8(4): 46. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani8040046

Bollazzi M, Roces F (2010) The thermoregulatory function of thatched nests in the South American grass-cutting ant, *Acromyrmex heyeri*. Journal of Insect Science 10(1): 137. https://doi.org/10.1673/031.010.13701

Bringhurst B, Allert M, Greenwold M, Kellner K, Seal J (2022) Environments And Hosts Structure The Bacterial Microbiomes Of Fungus-Gardening Ants And Their Symbiotic Fungus Gardens. Microbial Ecology 86: 1374–1392. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-022-02138-x

Caiafa L, Barros NO, Lopes JFS (2023) Greenhouse gas emissions from ant nests: A systematic review. Ecological Entomology 48(4): 397–408. https://doi.org/10.1111/een.13238

Cammeraat ELH, Risch AC (2008) The impact of ants on mineral soil properties and processes at different spatial scales. Journal of Applied Entomology 132(4): 285–294. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2008.01281.x

Cammeraat LH, Willott SJ, Compton SG, Incoll LD (2002) The effects of ants' nests on the physical, chemical and hydrological properties of a rangeland soil in semi-arid Spain. Geoderma 105: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(01)00085-4

Churilina AE, Golichenkov MV, Ivanova AE, Zakalyukina YV, Umarov MM (2017) Biological activity of ant nests in the middle taiga zone. Bulletin of Moscow University. Series 17. Soil Science 4: 40–44. [In Russian]

Coenen-Stass D, Schaarschmidt B, Lamprecht I (1980) Temperature distribution and calorimetric determination of heat production in the nest of the wood ants *Formica polyctena* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Ecology 61: 238–244. https://doi.org/10.2307/1935180

Dainenko DV, Rusakov AV (2012) The influence of anthills on fallow soils (on the example of the Yaroslavl and Leningrad regions). Biological Communications 2: 120–130. [In Russian]

Dauber J, Rommeler A, Wolters V (2006) The ant *Lasius flavus* alters the viable seed bank in pastures. European Journal of Soil Biology 42: 157–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.06.002

Domisch T, Ohashi M, Finér L, Risch A, Sundström L, Kilpeläinen J, Niemelä P (2008) Decomposition of organic matter and nutrient mineralisation in wood ant (*Formica rufa*group) mounds in boreal coniferous forests of different age. Biology and Fertility of Soils 44: 539–545. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-007-0248-0

Dostál P, Březnová M, Kozlíčková V, Herben T, Kovář P (2005) Ant-Induced soil modification and its effect on plant below-ground biomass. Pedobiologia 49(2): 127–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2004.09.004

Drager KI, Hirmas DR, Hasiotis ST (2016) Effects of Ant (*Formica subsericea*) Nests on Physical and Hydrological Properties of a Fine-Textured Soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 80: 364–375. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2015.08.0300

Eldridge DJ, Myers CA (1998) Ehancement of soil nutrients around nest entrances of the funnel ant *Aphaenogaster barbigula* (Myrmcinae) in semi-arid eastern Australia. Australian Journal of Soil Research 36: 1009–1017.



Farji-Brener AG, Werenkraut V (2017) The effects of ant nests on soil fertility and plant performance: a meta-analysis. Journal of Animal Ecology 86: 866–877. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12672

Fernandes TV, Fernandes OL, Gomes IJMT, Solar RRC, Campos RI (2024) Ant identity determines the fungi richness and composition of a myrmecochorous seed. PLoS ONE 19(3): e0293377. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0293377

Fernandez-Bou AS, Dierick D, Swanson AC, Allen MF, Alvarado AGF, Artavia-León A, Carrasquillo-Quintana O, Lachman DA, Oberbauer SF, Pinto-Tomás AA, Reyes YR, Rundel PW, Schwendenmann L, Zelikova TJ, Harmon TC (2019) The role of the ecosystem engineer, the leaf-cutter ant *Atta cephalotes*, on soil CO2 dynamics in a wet tropical rainforest. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 124: 260–273. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JG004723

Frouz J (2000) The effect of nest moisture on daily temperature regime in the nest of *Formica polyctena* wood ants. Insectes Sociaux 47: 229–235.

Frouz J, Jilková V (2008) The effect of ants on soil properties and process (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Myrmecological News 11: 191–199.

Frouz J, Holec M, Kalčík J (2003) The effect of *Lasius niger* (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) ant nest on selected soil chemical properties. Pedobiologia 47: 205–212. https://doi.org/10.1078/0031-4056-00184

Ibarra FG, Jouquet P, Bottinelli N, Bultelle A, Monnin T (2024) Experimental evidence that increased surface temperature affects bioturbation by ants. Journal of Animal Ecology 93: 319–332. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.14040

Geiselhardt SF, Peschke K, Nagel P (2007) A review of myrmecophily in ant nest beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Paussinae): linking early observations with recent findings. Naturwissenschaften 94: 871–894. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-007-0271-x

Golichenkov MV, Maksimova IA, Zakalyukina YV, Dymova AA, Churilina AE, Kiryushin AV (2019) Ants' nesting activity as a factor of changes in soil physical properties. IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science 368(1): 012013. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/368/1/012013

Hölldobler B, Wilson EO (1990) The ants. Springer, Berlin, 732 pp.

Green PT, O'Dowd DJ, Lake PS (1999) Alien ant invasion and ecosystem collapse on Christmas Island, Indian Ocean. Aliens: 2-4.

Hölldobler B, Kwapich CL (2019) Behavior and exocrine glands in the myrmecophilous beetle *Dinarda dentata* (Gravenhorst, 1806) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Aleocharinae). PLoS ONE 14(1): e0210524. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210524

Ivanov V, Loiko S, Milyaev I, Konstantinov A (2022) Land-Use Changes on Ob River Floodplain (Western Siberia, Russia) in Context of Natural and Social Changes over Past 200 Years. Land 11(12): 2258. https://doi.org/10.3390/land11122258

Jílková V, Frouz J (2014) Contribution of ant and microbial respiration to  $CO_2$  emission from wood ant (*Formica polyctena*) nests. European Journal of Soil Biology 60: 44–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.11.003

Jílková V, Picek T, Šestauberová M, Krištůfek V, Cajthaml T, Frouz J (2016) Methane and carbon dioxide flux in the profile of wood ant (*Formica aquilonia*) nests and the surrounding forest floor



during a laboratory incubation. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 92(10): fiw141. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw141

Jørgensen LB, Ørsted M, Malte H, Wang T, Overgaard J (2022) Extreme escalation of heat failure rates in ectotherms with global warming. Nature 611(7934): 93–98. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05334-4

Khazan E, Bujan J, Scheffers BR (2020) Patterns of ant activity and nesting ecology depend on flooding intensity in a Neotropical floodplain. International Journal of Tropical Insect Science 40: 909–917. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-020-00149-0

Kleineidam C, Ernst R, Roces F (2001) Wind-induced ventilation of the giant nests of the leafcutting ant *Atta vollenweideri*. Naturwissenschaften 88: 301–305. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001140100235

Kotova AA, Umarov MM, Zakalyukina YV (2015) Features of the transformation of nitrogen and carbon in the nests of soil-dwelling ants. Bulletin of Moscow University 1(17): 30–34. [In Russian]

Kotova AA, Golichenkov MV, Umarov MM, Putyanina TS, Zenova GM, Dobrovolskaya TG (2013) Microbiological activity in anthills of fallow lands (Ryazan region). Bulletin of Moscow University 2(17): 31–34. [In Russian]

Kristiansen SM, Amelung W (2001) Abandoned anthills of *Formica polyctena* and soil heterogeneity in a temperate deciduous forest: morphology and organic matter composition. European Journal of Soil Science 52(3): 355–363. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2389.2001.00390.x

Lane DR, BassiriRad H (2005) Diminishing effects of ant mounds on soil heterogeneity across a chronosequence of prairie restoration sites. Pedobiologia 49: 359–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2005.04.003

Leal I, Leal L, Andersen A (2015) The Benefits of Myrmecochory: A Matter of Stature. Biotropica 47: 281–285. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12213

Leal NR, Wirth R, Tabarelli M (2007) Seed dispersal by ants in the semi-arid Caatinga of North-east Brazil. Annals of Botany 99: 885–894. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcm017

Lei Y, Jaleel W, Shahzad FM, Ali S, Azad R, Ikram MR, Ali H, Ghramh HA, Khan AK, Qiu X, He Y, Lyu L (2021) Effect of constant and fluctuating temperature on the cir-cadian foraging rhythm of the red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* Buren (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 28(1): 64–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.08.032

Leontiev VV, Tatarnikov OM (2013) Anthills as biogenic landforms (based on the results of research in 2011–2012 in the Pskov and Novgorod regions). Pskov Regionalological Journal. Biological Sciences 83–89 [In Russian]

Lobry de Bruyn LA, Conacher AJ (1994) The bioturbation activity of ants in agricultural and naturally vegetated habitats in semi-arid environments. Australian Journal of Soil Research 32: 555–70. https://doi.org/10.1071/sr9940555

Majeed MZ, Miambi E, Barois I, Bernoux M, Brauman A (2018) Characterization of  $N_2O$  emissions and associated microbial communities from the ant mounds in soils of a humid tropical rainforest. Folia Microbiologica 63: 381–389. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12223-017-0575-y

Mccahon TJ, Lockwood JA (1990) Nest architecture and pedoturbation of *Formica obscuripes* Forel (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Pan-Pacific Entomologist 66: 147–156.



Mehring A, Martin R, Delavaux C, James E, Quispe J, Yaffar D (2021) Leaf-cutting ant (*Atta cephalotes*) nests may be hotspots of methane and carbon dioxide emissions in tropical forests. Pedobiologia 87–88: 150754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2021.150754

Mikhaleiko B, Kirpotin SN, Babenko AS (2023) Development and transformation of flood-plain territories by ants. Acta Biologica Sibirica 9: 943–952. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10101342

Mordkovich VG (2014) Steppe ecosystems. Academic Publishing House «Geo», Novosibirsk, 170 pp. [In Russian]

Naragon TH, Wagner JM, Parker J (2022) Parallel evolutionary paths of rove beetle myrmecophiles: replaying a deep-time tape of life. Current Opinion in Insect Science 51: 100903. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2022.100903

Neustruev SS (1976) On the issue of the geographical division of steppes and deserts in soil terms. Genesis and geography of soils. Nauka, Moscow. 328 pp. [In Russian]

Nkem JN, Lobry de Bruyn LA, Grant CD, Hulugalle NR (2000) The impact of ant bioturbation and foraging activities on surrounding soil properties. Pedobiologia 44(5): 609– 621. https://doi.org/10.1078/S0031-4056(04)70075-X

Novgorodova TA (2012) Trophobiotic relationships between ants (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) and aphids (Homoptera, Aphidoidea) in North-Eastern Altai. Proceedings of the Russian Entomological Society 83(1): 45–57. [In Russian]

Oliveira FR, Oliveira FMP, Centeno-Alvarado D, Wirth R, Lopes A, Leal I (2024) Rapid recovery of ant-mediated seed dispersal service along secondary succession in a Caatinga dry forest. Forest Ecology and Management 554: 121670. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.121670

Penick CA, Tschinkel WR (2008) Thermoregulatory brood transport in the fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta*. Insectes Sociaux 55: 176–182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-008-0987-4

Pliskevich ES (2016) Myrmecophilous Coleoptera (Insecta, Coleoptera) of the Belarusian Lake District. Bulletin of Polesie State University. Natural Science Series 1: 17–21. [In Russian]

Philpott SM, Perfecto I, Armbrecht I, Parr CL (2009) Chapter 8. Ant Diversity and Function in Disturbed and Changing Habitats. In: Lach L, Parr C, Abbott K (Eds) Ant Ecology. Oxford, 137–156. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199544639.003.0008

Reznikova ZhI (1999) Ethological mechanisms of population control in coadaptive ant complexes. Ecology 3: 210–215. [In Russian]

Reznikova ZhI, Novgorodova TA (1998) The role of individual and social experience in the interaction of ants with symbiont aphids. Reports of the Russian Academy of Sciences 359(4): 572–574. [In Russian]

Robins R, Robins A (2011) The antics of ants: ants as agents of bioturbation in a midden deposit in south-east Queensland. Environmental Archaeology 16: 151–161. https://doi.org/10.1179/174963111X13110803261010

Römer D, Halboth F, Bollazzi M, Roces F (2018) Underground nest building: the effect of CO2 on digging rates, soil transport and choice of a digging site in leaf-cutting ants. Insectes Sociaux 65: 305–313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-018-0615-x

Shi L, Liu F, Peng L (2023) Impact of Red Imported Fire Ant Nest-Building on Soil Properties and



Bacterial Communities in Different Habitats. Animals 13: 2026. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13122026

Skaldina O, Peräniemi S, Sorvari J (2018) Ants and their nests as indicators for industrial heavy metal contamination. Environmental Pollution 240: 574–581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.04.134

Soper FM, Sullivan BW, Osborne BB, AN, Shaw AN, Philippot L, Cleveland CC (2019) Leafcutter ants engineer large nitrous oxide hot spots in tropical forests. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 286(1894): 20182504. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2504

Stebaeva SK, Andreeva IS, Reznikova ZI (1977) Microbial populations and springtails (Collembola) in the nests of the meadow ant *Formica pratensis* Retz. Ethological problems of insect ecology in Siberia. Novosibirsk, 7–38. [In Russian]

Stebaev IV, Titlyanova AA, Mordkovich VG, Volkovincer VV, Pavlova ZF, Stebaeva SK (1968) Animal population and nodal morpho-functional structure of biogeocenoses of the mountain-basin steppes of southern Siberia. Zoological journal 47(11): 1603–1619. [In Russian]

Taylor AR, Lenoir L, Vegerfors B, Persson T (2019) Ant and earthworm bioturbation in cold-temperate ecosystems. Ecosystems 22: 981–994. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-018-0317-2

Tschinkel WR (2015) Biomantling and bioturbation by colonies of the Florida harvester ant, *Pogonomyrmex badius*. PloS ONE 10(3): e0120407. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120407

Urbańczyk DA, Szulc W (2023) Effect of anthills on changes in the physico-chemical properties in sandy soil. Soil Science Annual 74(1): 162336. https://doi.org/10.37501/soilsa/162336

Viles HA, Goudie A, Goudie A (2021) Ants as geomorphological agents: A global assessment. Earth-Science Reviews 213: 103469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103469

Vogt JT, Wallet B, Coy S (2008) Dynamic thermal structure of imported fire ant mounds. Journal of Insect Science 8: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1673/031.008.3101

Wagner D, Jones JB (2006) The impact of harvester ants on decomposition, N mineralization, litter quality, and the availability of N to plants in the Mojave Desert. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 38: 2593–2601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.02.024

Wang S, Li J, Zhang Z, Cao R, Chen M, Li S (2018) The contributions of undergroundnesting ants to CO2 emission from tropical forest soils vary with species. Science of the Total Environment 630: 1095–1102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.179

Wilkinson MT, Richards PJ, Humphreys GS (2009) Breaking ground: Pedological, geological, and ecological implications of soil bioturbation. Earth-Science Reviews 97: 257–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2009.09.005

Wiken EB, Boersma LM, Lavkulich LM, Farstead L (1976) Biosynthetic alteration in a British Columbia soil by ants (*Formica fusca*, Linne). Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 40: 422–6. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1976.03615995004000030032x

Wills BD, Landis DA (2018) The role of ants in north temperate grasslands: a review. Oecologia 186: 323–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-017-4007-0

Wilson EO, Hölldobler B (2005) The rise of the ants: a phylogenetic and ecological explanation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 102: 7411–7414.



https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502264102

Wu H, Lu X, Tong S, Batzer DP (2015) Soil engineering ants increase  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  emissions by affecting mound soil physicochemical characteristics from a marsh soil: A laboratory study. Applied Soil Ecology 87: 19–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.11.011

Yang F, Shao R, Zhao J, Li L, Wang M, Zhou A (2021) Cadmium exposure disrupts the olfactory sensitivity of fire ants to semiochemicals. Environmental Pollution 287: 117359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117359

Yang G, Zhou W, Qu W, Yao W, Zhu P, Xu J (2022) A Review of Ant Nests and Their Implications for Architecture. Buildings 12(12): 2225. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12122225

Zakharov AA, Yanushev VV (2019) Myrmecophilous beetles in the settlements of the northern forest ant *Formica aquilonia* Yarrow (hymenoptera, formicidae). News of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Biological series 5: 495–504. [In Russian]

Zhou W, Zhan P, Zeng M, Chen T, Zhang X, Yang G, Guo Y (2023) Effects of ant bioturbation and foraging activities on soil mechanical properties and stability. Global Ecology and Conservation 46: 2575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2023.e02575